

Predicting the Negative: Investigating the Comprehension of Negated Sentences in an Event-related Potential Study

Viviana Haase*^{1,2}, and Markus Werning^{1,2}

*Corresponding Author: viviana.haase@rub.de

¹Institut für Philosophie II, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany

²Mercator Research Group “Structure of Memory”, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany

It is widely agreed that prediction is a key feature of language comprehension (e.g. De Long et al., 2005; Pickering and Garrod, 2007) leading to the question of what we predict once we encounter a negation in a sentence. Negative sentences have been claimed to be harder to process due to (i) their higher (morpho-)syntactical complexity and (ii) the need to suppress positive information and to eventually represent the negated state of affairs (i.e. the affirmative counterpart) on a first step before representing the actual state of affairs (e.g. Just & Carpenter, 1971; Kaup et al., 2006). Accordingly, negative sentences have been shown to elicit different behavioral and neurocognitive responses than their affirmative counterparts, such as for example higher error rates, longer response times (e.g. Just & Carpenter, 1971), but also different ERP (e.g. Fischler et al., 1983; Lüdtke et al., 2008) and fMRI patterns (e.g. Bahlmann et al., 2011). Measuring ERPs, we addressed the questions (i) whether negation can be processed incrementally or whether a multistep process is necessary (ii) how prediction influences the comprehension of negated sentences and (iii) whether processing differences between negative and affirmative sentences are correlated with individual personality or cognitive traits. We argue that our results do not necessarily reflect different representational steps but rather a difficulty in the prediction process, as prediction involves computations that may require different amounts of time (e.g. Chow et al., 2016). Furthermore, our results show that the observed effect is stronger for subjects with high working memory capacities indicating that they seem to predict a higher number of possible scenarios, possibly in a graded or probabilistic way. Finally, it is argued that we should take into account language-specific differences, as different languages vary with regard to their (default) relative weighting of top-down and bottom-up information sources (e.g. MacWhinney et al., 1984; Tune et al., 2014).

- Bahlmann, J., Mueller, J., Makuuchi, M., & Friederici. (2011). A. D. Perisylvian functional connectivity during processing of sentential negation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2, 104. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00104
- Chow, W.; Momma, S.; Smith, C.; Lau, E. & Phillips, C. (2016): Prediction as memory retrieval: timing and mechanisms. *Journal for Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 31, 617-627. doi:10.1080/23273798.2016.1160135
- De Long, K.; Urbach, T. & Kutas, M.(2005): Probabilistic word pre-activation during language comprehension inferred from electrical brain activity. *Nature Neuroscience*, 8, 1117-1121. doi:10.1038/nn1504
- Fischler, I.; Bloom, P.; Childers, D.; Roucos, S. & Perry, N. (1983): Brain Potentials Related to Stages of Sentence Verification. *Psychophysiology*, 20, 400-409. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.1983.tb00920.x
- Just, M. A. & Carpenter, P. A.: Comprehension of negation with quantification (1971): *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 10, 244-253. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(71)80051-8
- Kaup, B.; Luedtke, J. & Zwaan, R. A. (2006): Processing negated sentences with contradictory predicates: Is a door that is not open mentally closed? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38, 1033–1050. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2005.09.012
- Luedtke, J.; Friedrich, C. K.; De Filippi, M. & Kaup, B. (2008): Event-related Potential Correlates of Negation in a Sentence-Picture Verification Paradigm. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 20, 1355-1370. doi:10.1162/jocn.2008.20093
- MacWhinney, B.; Bates, E. & Kliegl, R. (1984): Cue validity and sentence interpretation in English, German, and Italian. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 23, 127-150. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(84)90093-8
- Pickering, M. & Garrod, S. (2007): Do people use language production to make predictions during comprehension? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11, 105–110. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2006.12.002
- Tune, S., Schlesewsky, M., Small, S.L., Sanford, A.J., Bohan, J., Sassenhagen, J., Bornkessel-Schlesewsky, I. (2014): Cross-linguistic variation in the neurophysiological response to semantic processing: Evidence from anomalies at the borderline of awareness. *Neuropsychologia* 56. 147-166. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014.01.007